# SCHOOLS FORUM -3RD NOVEMBER 2016

Title of paper:	De-delegation of 2017/18 Health and Safety Building inspection				
	funding				
Director(s)/	Alison Michalska, Corporate Director for Children and Adults				
Corporate Director(s):					
Report author(s) and	David Thompson, Schools H&S Manager, Children and Adults				
contact details:	Tel: (0115) 87 64608				
	e-mail: davidm.thompson@nottinghamcity.gov.uk				
Other colleagues who	Andy Fletcher, Team Leader, Property Maintenance				
have provided input:	Julia Holmes, Senior Commercial Business Partner, Finance				
nave provided input.	Jon Ludford-Thomas, Senior Solicitor, Legal Services				
	Son Eudord-Thomas, Senior Solicitor, Legal Services				
Summary					
The purpose of this rep	ort is to update Schools Forum on the statutory and legislative health				
and safety responsibil	ities of the Local Authority (LA) in relation to maintenance and				
	chool properties and how the funding requested to be de-delegated				
is used to support this.					
This report sooks appr	wal from Schools Forum to do-dologate the funding for schools health				
	oval from Schools Forum to de-delegate the funding for schools health				
and safety building equi	oval from Schools Forum to de-delegate the funding for schools health pment inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in				
and safety building equi					
and safety building equi 2017/18.					
and safety building equi 2017/18. Recommendation(s):	pment inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in				
and safety building equi 2017/18. Recommendation(s):					
and safety building equivation 2017/18.  Recommendation(s): 1 To note the statute	pment inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in				
and safety building equi 2017/18. Recommendation(s): 1 To note the statuto to building mainter	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of				
and safety building equi 2017/18. Recommendation(s): 1 To note the statuto to building mainter	pment inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in bry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation				
and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.  Recommendation(s): 1 To note the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the statute to building mainter costs the statute to building mainter	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of				
and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.  Recommendation(s): 1 To note the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statute to building mainter costs that the statute to building mainter costs the statute to building mainter	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2.				
and safety building equil 2017/18. <b>Recommendation(s):</b> <b>1</b> To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requil <b>2</b> For maintained mainter	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of ested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>To note the statuto to building mainter costs that the required for the statuto to building mainter costs that the required for the statuto the statuto the statuto the statuto to building mainter costs that the required for the statuto the sta</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of ested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requiremendation (s) is a statement of the statutor to building mainter costs that the requirement of the statement of</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Anistream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: ety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requilibrium 2</li> <li>For maintained mainter pupil. Total expression of the statute of</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of ested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor of the s</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of rested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Aninstream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: ety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream nary schools is £0.172m.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor of the s</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Anistream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: ety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor to building mainter costs that the required of the statutor of the s</li></ul>	Apprent inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in bry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Aninstream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: by building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream hary schools is £0.172m.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requilibrium 2</li> <li>For maintained maintained maintained primaintained primaintaintained primaintained primaintained pr</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Anistream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: lety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream nary schools is £0.172m. I mainstream secondary school to approve the de-delegation of: lety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream hary schools is £0.172m.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requilibrium 2</li> <li>For maintained maintained maintained primaintained primaintaintained primaintained primaintained pr</li></ul>	Apprent inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in bry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. Aninstream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: by building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream hary schools is £0.172m.				
<ul> <li>and safety building equilibrium 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requilibrium 2</li> <li>For maintained maintained maintained print</li> <li>3 For the maintained</li> <li>Health and safe pupil. Total equilibrium 2</li> <li>Health and safe pupil. Total equilibrium 2</li> </ul>	propriet inspections for maintained primary and secondary schools in bry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of lested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. An instream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: by building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream nary schools is £0.172m. If mainstream secondary school to approve the de-delegation of: by building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per stimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream				
<ul> <li>and safety building equil 2017/18.</li> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>1 To note the statutor to building mainter costs that the requiled of the statutor to building mainter costs that the requiled of the state of th</li></ul>	pry and legislative health and safety responsibilities of the LA in relation hance of maintained primary and secondary schools and the type of ested funding will be used to fund, detailed in paragraph 1.2. hinstream primary schools to approve the de-delegation of: ety building inspection funding in 2017/18 based on a rate of £13.92 per estimated funding requested to be de-delegated for mainstream nary schools is £0.172m.				

# 1. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 The overall responsibility for health and safety lies with the employer. The Health and Safety Executive state that in England the Local Authority is the employer in community schools.

The Health and Safety at Work Etc. Act 1974 and subsequent legislation places a general duty on employers to ensure so far as is reasonably practicable the health, safety and welfare at work of all of their employees and non-employees.

To meet the statutory building health and safety responsibilities, Property Maintenance, situated within Building Services at the LA ensure that the Statutory and Legislative maintenance and testing regimes are undertaken within Nottingham City Council's portfolio of properties, which includes maintained schools, to ensure that all property health and safety issues are identified.

- 1.2 The funding requested to be de-delegated in this report in 2017/18 is to be used by:
  - Property Maintenance to fund the tests and inspections in maintained primary and secondary schools. These tests and inspections include, but are not restricted to:
    - Air Conditioning Units
    - $\circ$  Asbestos surveys
    - Automatic doors and gates
    - o Boilers
    - Electrical circuit testing
    - Emergency lighting
    - Fire alarms
    - Heat pumps
    - Legionella risk assessments
    - o Lifts
    - Lightning protection
    - Pressure sets
    - Stage lighting
- 1.3 Approval of the de-delegation of Health and Safety inspections is required for maintained mainstream school sites to enable the LA to deliver its statutory obligation regarding the health and safety of these sites.
- 1.4 Any remedial works that are required due to schools failing any tests or inspections will be organised and paid for from the Dedicated Schools Grant against the Capital expenditure from revenue funding held centrally within the Schools Block.
- 1.5 Approvals for de-delegations are annual regardless of the statutory nature.

#### 2. BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 In order to achieve a competent level of functionality the LA will consider the relevant legislation and documentation, which may include:
  - Statutory legislation and regulation
  - Industry regulation
  - Approved Codes of Practice
  - Guidance documentation
  - Equipment manufacturer's instructions and recommendations
  - Best practice
- 2.2 A policy has been produced by the Property Maintenance Team "Statutory Testing & Inspection of Fixed Installations in Nottingham City Council Properties Policy statement & Testing Procedures (October 2013 v 1.2b)". This document confirms Nottingham City Council's responsibilities and intentions as Corporate Landlord in relation to tests and inspections carried out in Nottingham City properties, in line with corporate policies. The aim of the document is to give support and advice and ensure

clarifications of property related health and safety responsibilities are understood. This document can be found in the Schools Safety Manual.

Property Maintenance Team have put in place a timetable for tests and inspections, which reflect a combination of statutory guidance and appropriate practice. The LA uses internal and external contractors to carry out the tests and inspections. The timetable for tests and inspections, undertaken in-house or by contractors, range from daily to up to every five years dependent on the particular test or inspection.

- 2.3 Note that the funding does not include the Property Maintenance advisory service on such remedial matters; this service is available via an Education Services Nottingham contract.
- 2.4 Where tests and inspections are required as part of a health and safety management system, such as asbestos, legionella or fire safety, separate policies relating to these items are included in the appendices B, C and D of the "Statutory Testing & Inspection of Fixed Installations in Nottingham City Council Properties Policy statement & Testing Procedures (October 2013 v 1.2b)".
- 2.5 Approval to de-delegate the schools health and safety building inspection budget has been given by both the primary and secondary phases representatives of Schools Forum each financial year since 2013/14. Any unspent balance at the end of the financial year is transferred to a Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve. In reverse any in year overspend would be drawn down from the Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve. As at the 31 March 2016 the balance on the Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve was £0.175m.
- 2.6 Based on the latest timetable of tests and inspections to be carried out in 2016/17 it is estimated that the forecast expenditure for 2016/17 will be approximately £0.185m.
- 2.7 Table 1 shows the budget and expenditure on the schools health and safety building maintenance in the last three years since the funding was first de-delegated.

Table 1: Breakdown of Schools Health and Safety Building Maintenance

Year	Budget	Outturn/ Forecast	Variance	Explanation
2013/14	£0.273m	£0.231m	£0.042m	The under-spend of £0.042m at the year end was transferred to the Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve.
2014/15	£0.253m	£0.174m	£0.079m	The under-spend of £0.079m at the year end was transferred to the Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve.
2015/16	£0.208m	£0.154m	£0.054m	The underspend was transferred to the Schools Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve at the end of the financial year.
2016/17	£0.199m	£0.185m	£0.014m	Any surplus at the end of the financial year will be transferred to the schools Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve, or any

		overspend will be drawn down from the	
		reserve.	

2.8 Due to the basis upon which de-delegated budgets are calculated, which is on the pupil numbers in maintained schools in the Autumn Term prior to the financial year it is going to be applied, unfortunately as schools academise the costs charged against the de-delegated funding will reduce but the budget remains the same. If at any point Schools Forum wish to review the balance on the Schools Health and Safety Building Maintenance Reserve this can be undertaken as and when required.

### 3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 If the health and safety inspections were undertaken by the school (i.e. the LA does not organise them on the schools' behalf) then according to health and safety legislation the LA would still retain the overall responsibility that they are undertaken. Therefore the LA would need to monitor the schools to ensure that they are taking place. In the event that they do not take place in a timely fashion to the relevant standard, the LA has the legal responsibility to instruct the school to act and/or undertake the inspection and tests automatically and recharge the school. The LA may choose to add officer time to this recharge.

### 4. <u>OUTCOMES/DELIVERABLES</u>

- 4.1. To de-delegate this funding will enable the LA to fulfil its statutory duties in relation to Health and Safety on maintained mainstream school sites.
- 4.2. Schools will receive an annual report in April/May including the schedule of tests for the academic year and names of the contractors who the LA have commissioned.

#### 5. <u>FINANCE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR</u> <u>MONEY/VAT)</u>

5.1. Based on the latest Department for Education indicator data and known academy conversions the schools health and safety building inspection proposal would result in maintained mainstream primary schools de-delegating £0.172m and maintained mainstream secondary schools de-delegating £0.018m. Therefore, a total of £0.190m would be de-delegated.

For information funding the proposal would result in the delegation of an estimated  $\pm 0.341$ m to academies. Therefore, the total amount delegated is  $\pm 0.531$ m.

The funding delegated to academies would be passed on through the local funding formula through the "Basic entitlement" factor and then the total of the academies Individual Schools Budget Shares is recouped by the Education Funding Agency.

These calculations are based on a rate of £13.92 per pupil for both maintained schools and academies.

#### 6. <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT</u> <u>ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT</u> <u>IMPLICATIONS)</u>

- 6.1 Primary responsibility for health and safety in relation to community schools and community special schools rests with the local authority that maintains those community schools and community special schools since it owns the land and buildings of the community schools and community special schools, and employs the staff of those schools. However, it should be noted that the governing bodies of community schools and community special schools have health and safety responsibilities arising from their control and use of the school premises and their management of the school staff.
- 6.2 The Schools Forum's powers here derive from the School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2015 ("SEYFR"), made by the Secretary of State in exercise of powers under the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 and the Education Act 2002. The SEYFR came into force on 7 January 2016.
- 6.3 Chapter 2 of the SEYFR is entitled "Further Deductions and Variations to Limits Authorised by School Forums or the Secretary of State" and it contains regulation 12 of the SEYFR. Under regulation 12 of the SEYFR, on the application of a local authority the Schools Forum may authorise the redetermination of schools' budget shares by removal of any of the expenditure referred to in Part 5 of Schedule 2 (Items That May Be Removed From Maintained Schools' Budget Shares) [of the SEYFR] from schools' budget shares where it is instead to be treated by the authority as if it were part of central expenditure, under regulation 11(4) (SEYFR, regulation 12(1)(d)). Part 5 of Schedule 2 of the SEYFR

Expenditure on insurance in respect of liability arising in connection with schools and schools premises.

6.4 Part 5 of Schedule 2 of the SEYFR contains paragraph 37, which states:-

Expenditure on the schools' specific contingency.

6.5 Therefore, provided the proposals fall within the above legislation, Nottingham City Schools Forum has the power to approve the recommendations in this report. In addition, by virtue of regulation 8 of the Schools Forums (England) Regulations 2012 only the representatives of the maintained primary schools and the maintained secondary schools have a vote on this in respect of maintained primary schools and maintained secondary schools respectively. Moreover, this power should be exercised lawfully. Provided the amounts sought through use of this power have been correctly and lawfully calculated, the exercise of this power will be lawful.

## 7. <u>HR ISSUES</u>

7.1 There are no people implications arising from this report.

#### 8. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Has the equality impact been assessed?

Not needed (report does not contain proposals or financial decisions) No Yes – Equality Impact Assessment attached

$\boxtimes$

Due regard should be given to the equality implications identified in the EIA.

### 9. <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> <u>THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

9.1 None

# 10. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

Nottingham City Council Policies:

 Statutory Testing & Inspection of Fixed Installations in Nottingham City Council Properties – Policy statement & Testing Procedures (October 2013 v 1.2b)

Legislation:

- The School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2015
- The Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and associated legislation.

## APPENDIX A – EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Name and brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed
The purpose of this report is to ask Schools Forum representatives of maintained primary and maintained secondary schools to approve the de- delegation of the Building Maintenance funding in 2017/18
Information used to analyse the effects on equality

	Could particularly benefit (X)	May adversely impact (X)	How different groups could be affected: Summary of impacts	Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action not possible)
People from different ethnic groups			The Local Authority (LA) has a statutory duty regarding Health and Safety of maintained	The LA are recommending this proposal to reduce the likelihood of
Men, women (including maternity/pregnancy impact), transgender people			school sites. To ensure that the LA is able to carry out its statutory duty it has to on an annual basis request Schools Forum to approve the	a negative impact on the pupils of maintained primary and secondary schools.
Disabled people or carers			de-delegation of this funding.	
People from different faith groups			As the costs incurred by each school annually	
Lesbian, gay or bisexual people			in relation to health and safety vary, this funding will be used to cover "peaks" and "troughs "	
Older or younger people Other (e.g. marriage/civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/good relations, vulnerable children/adults)			associated with the maintenance of maintained school sites. Any unspent balances at the end of the financial year will added back into the a sinking fund which has been set up to manage the peaks and troughs of expenditure. Likewise if there is an overspend the funding will be drawn down from the sinking fund.	
			By implementing this proposal it will stop the likelihood of schools incurring budget pressures caused by having to fund health and safety maintenance costs in relation to their sites. If	

		hi th th m By cc pu th in	igher than they nem to move re- neir pupils to co- naintenance co- y retaining this onsistent appro- upils by resour- ne education of n others.	fund this and the costs were y had budgeted it may require esources from the education of over health and safety osts of the site. funding centrally it will enable a oach as to how money is spent rces not being taken away from f pupils in some schools and not	
Outcome(s) of equality imp	act assessm	ent:			
No major change needed X Adjust the policy/proposal Adverse impact but continue Stop and remove the policy/proposal					
Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:					
If this proposal is approved then no equality impact monitoring will need to be undertaken. However, if the proposal is not approved					
and the budget is delegated to maintained schools then the schools would be responsible and the LA would have no influence over					
the equality impact.					
Approved by: David Thompson Schools H&S Manager D				Date sent to equality team for put	blishing: